UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MIKAEL M. SAFARIAN	
Plaintiff,	Civ. No. 10-6082
v.	VERDICT SHEET
AMERICAN DG ENERGY INC. et al,	VERDICI SHEET
Defendant.	
1. Has Plaintiff proven, by a prep	onderance of the evidence, that, under the law,
he was an employee of ADG at the time of his	termination?
YES NO	
If your answer is "No," please report that you have concluded your deliberations.	
If your answer is "Yes," proceed to Question 2.	
2. Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he had a	
reasonable belief that ADG was intentionally of	committing fraud by overbilling customers?
YES NO	
deliberations.	eport that you have concluded your
If your answer is "Yes," proceed to Question 3.	
3. Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he reported	
ADG's policy of overbilling customers by fram	ud to any government authority or ADG senior
corporate management?	
YESNO	

If your answer is "No," please report that you have concluded your deliberations.

If your answer is "Yes," proceed to Question 4.

Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that ADG terminated Plaintiff for complaining about ADG's practice of overbilling customers by fraud in violation of a clear mandate of public policy?

YES _____ NO ____

If your answer is "No," or "Yes," please report that you have concluded your deliberations.

Dated: February 14, 2017

Signature of Foreperson